### Parks Evaluation Goals & Questions

Goal: Understand the use of Somerville parks, with particular focus on equity in access to modern parks with engaging features for all residents.

Data: observations of park use, permit data from Parks & Rec calendar, ACS data

### Specific aims and research questions:

- Who uses the parks? During which times?
  - Perceived age, gender, race/ethnicity
- How do people use parks for unstructured recreation (i.e. not permitted times)
- How active are people in the parks?
  - What features promote activity
  - Which parks need renovation or improvement, and what type of improvement?
- How does permitting affect park accessibility for unstructured play?

### **Observation Method**

Moderate

Biking or scooting

Yega or Tai Chi

Climbing/sliding

Latino Black

Ethnicity

White

Other/Unio

Light Walking or stretching

Swinging, playing catch, or assisting children

Child

Age Group

Teen Adult Senior

Gardening

Other/Unkn

Gender

Male

Sedentary

Standing still

Subj. Subsect.

.

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

Inactive games or reading

#/descrip. Female

Sitting or lying down

- Each selected park observed at 3 points in time during three windows:
  - Weekday 3-5, Weekday 5-7. Weekend 3-5
- Data collected on individual user activity and demographics as well as overall park conditions
- User demographics are based on enumerator-perceived age, gender, and race or ethnicity



### Total People Observed

- Total of 20 parks observed
  - 13 in each year
  - 6 observed across both years
- 60% Playgrounds, 25% Passive Parks, 15% Athletic Fields



Park	Land Use Type	2021 Obs	2022 Obs
Albion	Playground	63	
Allen	Playground		11
Central Playground	Playground		44
СНР	Playground	13	31
Corbett	Playground		19
Draw	Athletic Field	38	49
Foss	Athletic Field	323	217
Grimmons	Playground	31	
Hoyt	Playground	93	51
Junction	Passive	6	
LouAnne	Passive		36
Marshall	Playground	33	
MaxpacSquare	Passive	26	
Mystic	Playground	23	
North	Playground	78	69
Nunziato	Athletic Field		26
Osgood	Playground		20
Otis	Playground	25	16
Partners	Passive	22	
Prospect	Passive		55

## Overall Activity Level in Parks



- Sedentary Light Mod/Vigorous
- Playground primary use parks have highest activity level, largely due to active children
  - Allen St was observed while a Headstart group was playing in it
- While passive parks like Junction and Prospect Hill have high levels of sedentary activity, when a passive park is available to a group, it can easily be used actively
  - Lou Ann David observed just after programming at TAB building lets out, leading to higher activity levels
- Foss Park fell into both categories of having very active people in the park and more sedentary behaviors

Policy Recommendation:

1. Prioritize upkeep and addition of features in parks near preschools and programming for children

Notes: Overall activity level is not significantly different between 2021 and 2022., at the 5% level.

#### Parks with >25% Moderate or Vigorous Activity, 2021 and 2022 combined data

	Mod/Vig		
	Obs	Total Obs	Percent
Allen	8	11	72.73
Corbett	9	19	47.37
North St	68	144	47.22
Chuckie			
Harris	13	44	29.55
Foss	133	532	25
LouAnn	9	36	25

### Parks with >50% Sedentary Activity, 2021 and 2022 combined data

	Sedentary Obs	Total Obs	Percent
Maxpac			
Square	18	26	69.23
Nunziato	18	26	69.23
Junction	4	6	66.67
Grimmons	19	31	61.29
Prospect	33	55	60
Foss	273	532	51.32
Draw	44	87	50.57

## Park Use by Perceived Age



- Adults are underrepresented in parks and much less active compared to children and teens
- Older adults are not active in parks

Policy recommendation:

- 1. Provide opportunities for adults to be active while watching kids and conduct outreach to promote these opportunities
- 2. Offer more programming for older adults in parks



Fig 2b. Activity Level by Perceived Age, 2021 and 2022

Notes: 1) Age is perceived. Studies show that observers are significantly less able to guess the age of people of races/ethnicities different to their own.

## Park Use by Perceived Race/Ethnicity

Fig 2a. Perceived Race in Park Observations and 2020 ACS



- Black and Latino/a/e residents are over-represented in public parks
- Differences in methodology make ACS and SOPARC data difficult to compare

Policy recommendation:

1. People of color use the parks in Somerville. Continue to work on creative ways to engage communities who use the parks in future design or other changes to the space. Fig 2b. Activity Level by Perceived Race/Ethnicity, 2021 and 2022



#### Notes:

Race is perceived. Studies show that observers are significantly less able to guess the race of people of races/ethnicities different to their own. However, our team of observers were able to take contextual clues into account when assessing race, including language.
Asian was not a category in the 2021 SOPARC evaluation.

# Permitting & Unstructured Recreation

Field	Percent of Weekday 5-8 PM Time Permitted
ESCS Field	100.0
Winter Hill Field	99.4
Capuano Field	95.8
Nunziato Field	75.0
Trum Diamond A	73.2
Trum Diamond B	58.9
Lincoln Park Field	50.0

Park Observation Data:

- People observed working out, playing in fields during unpermitted times on weekends
- Permitted activity doesn't always occur as planned e.g. day we observed Winter Hill from 5-7, it was permitted for SYSL but was open for a family who was playing there

Policy Recommendation:

As more fields come online in Somerville to better support high demand:

- 1. Consider preserving some field time for unstructured access for the public
- 2. Consider lighting as part of this intervention, to create a welcoming and safe space.

## Activity Level by Perceived Gender and Park Type

Although 2021 found a disparity in activity level between female-appearing and male-appearing park users, the difference was minimal in 2022 observations. In both year, playgrounds saw the most vigorously active use. Data shows that so-called 'passive' parks can still be spaces for light to moderate activity, especially for children.

